





KC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-10/066,436	01/30/2002	Steve G. Baker	ENDOV-59271	5619
24201 - 759	90 12/20/2002			,
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			EXAMINER	
			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200111(0222	,,		3738	 -
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- M						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/066,436	BAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
4	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-32 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers O) The energification is chicated to by the Examinor						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/066,436

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: "apices" is misspelled as "apieces. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 27 recites the limitation "one of the plurality of frames attached to the first end of the graft". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Furthermore, one might interpret the claim such that there is a plurality of frames at the first end of the graft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/066,436

Art Unit: 3738

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-25, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhodes (5,122,154). Rhodes discloses a graft comprising: a plurality of discrete non-overlapping self-expanding frames (30) along its length, which may be inside the graft (col. 4, lines 19-22), and have wall engaging members (Col. 7, lines 18-30), and wherein the graft is pleated, which may provide a tapered profile (Fig. 6). Please Note: The Applicant may have meant to claim the graft as tapered after full expansion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes (5,122,154) in view of Lazarus et al. (5,275,622) as cited in Applicant's IDS. Lazarus et al. discloses a graft comprising a plurality of frames extending beyond the length of the graft, the frames having a helix configured at their apices, however Lazarus et al. fails to disclose the frames along the length of the graft. Rhodes teaches discrete frames along the length of the graft (Fig.7), which adds strength and flexibility,

Art Unit: 3738

enabling the use of a longer graft (col. 3, lines 47-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of discrete frames along the length of the graft, as taught by Rhodes, to a graft comprising a plurality of frames extending beyond the length of the graft as per Lazarus et al., in order to add strength and flexibility to the graft, enabling the use of a longer graft.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3580 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0850.

Thomas Barrett December 16, 2002 CUHHINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700